STEM CELLS AND DEVELOPMENT Volume XX, Number XX, 2009 © Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. DOI: 10.1089/scd.2009.0110

Architectural and Immunohistochemical Characterization of Biliary Ductules in Normal Human Liver

Katalin Dezső, Sándor Paku, Veronika Papp, Eszter Turányi, and Peter Nagy

The canals of Hering or biliary ductules have been described to connect the bile canaliculi with the interlobular bile ducts, and thus forming the distal part of the biliary tree. Studies in the last two decades suggested that the cells constructing these ductules could behave as hepatic progenitor cells. The canals of Hering are confined to the periportal space in the rat, while they have been reported to spread beyond the limiting plate in human liver. The distribution of the distal biliary ductules in normal human hepatic tissue has been investigated in our recent experiments. We could demonstrate the presence of interlobular connective tissue septa in a rudimentary form in healthy livers. The canals of Hering run in these septa in line with the terminal branches of the portal vein and hepatic arteries. This arrangement develops in the postnatal period but regresses after early childhood. The canals of Hering can be identified by the unique epithelial membrane antigen (EMA)–/CD56+/CD133+ immunophenotype. The canals of Hering leave the periportal space and spread into the liver parenchyma along rudimentary interlobular septa outlining the hepatic lobules. Our observations refine the original architectural description of the intraparenchymal portion of the canals of Hering in the human liver. The distinct immunophenotype supports their unique biological function.

Introduction

1 THE EXISTENCE OF A progenitor cell population in the liver has become generally accepted [1,2], and the clinical 2 3 application of these cells have been of tremendous interest [3,4]. Today, liver transplantation is the only available cura-4 5 tive treatment for liver failure, either in cirrhosis or in fulmi-6 nant liver necrosis. However, the number of available donor 7 livers sets the limit for the application of this procedure and alternative treatments are being sought. Transdifferentiation 8 9 of bone marrow cells into hepatocytes does not seem to be 10 efficient enough for clinical application [5-7]. Conversely, 11 efficient hepatic regeneration has been recorded from the 12 endogenous liver progenitor cells in human [8,9].

Most data refer to the canals of Hering as the site of the 13 hepatic progenitor cell compartment [1,2,10]. This structure 14 was described by Hering as "hepatic capillaries" [11], which 15 16 maintained the link between bile ducts and the hepato-17 cyte canalicular system. Later, it had been proposed to be the niche for hepatic progenitor cells [12,13]. The niche is a 18 19 special microenvironment, which has a major impact on 20 the maintenance and activation of the stem/progenitor cell 21 compartment [14]. Therefore, the exact identification and characterization of this structure is necessary to understand 22 its behavior under normal and pathological conditions. 23

The canals of Hering are usually shown as short, straight 24 ductules at the border of the periportal connective tissue and 25 liver parenchyma, but probably this conformation is oversim-26 plified. We have recently characterized the hepatic progenitor 27 cell niche in rat liver by laser scanning confocal microscopy 28 [15]. Long, branching ductules have been observed, strictly 29 inside the periportal connective tissue. They had contact with 30 the bile canalicular system at the limiting plate. Their unique 31 CK19+/CK7- immunophenotype has made their identifi-32 cation within the biliary tree easier. However, no CK7- bil-33 iary structures could be observed in human liver specimens. 34 Furthermore, the canals of Hering have been described to 35 spread into the hepatic lobule in the normal human liver [16]. 36 These observations suggest that the organization of the canals 37 of Hering in human liver is different from the traditional sim-38 ple view as well as from the architecture we saw in rat liver. 39

In our present study, we set out to collect normal human liver tissue from individuals of various ages and analyzed the architecture and immunophenotype of the biliary ductules by confocal microscopy. 43

۲

()

First Department of Pathology and Experimental Cancer Research, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary.

۲

2

Materials and Methods

44 Normal human liver specimens were collected from cadavers of spontaneous premature birth neonates with-45 out developmental abnormalities and individuals who died 46 47 suddenly in accidents without morphological signs and 48 anamnestic data of any liver disease. (Age and gender of the 49 patients: 3 males, 23rd week of pregnancy; 4 females, 23rd week of pregnancy; 1 male, 39th week of pregnancy; 2 males, 50 3 years; 1 female, 3 years; 1 female, 13 years; 1 male, 20 years; 51 52 1 male, 26 years old.) All autopsies were performed within 53 24 h following death. The liver samples were thoroughly examined on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded liver sec-54 55 tions with H&E, diastase PAS, Prussian blue, orcein and 56 Masson's trichrome stainings; no fibrosis, ductular reaction, 57 or other pathological alterations were observed. Snap frozen 58 liver samples were stored at -80° C.

Frozen sections (10-20 µm) were fixed in methanol and 59 60 were incubated at room temperature (1 h) with a mixture of primary antibodies (Supplementary Table 1), (Supplementary 61 62 materials are available online at http://www.liebertpub.com/) followed by the appropriate fluorescent secondary antibodies 63 (Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA). All samples were 64 65 analyzed by confocal laser scanning microscopy using Bio-66 Rad MRC-1024 system (Bio-Rad, Richmond CA).

The procedure has been approved by the ethical commit-tee of Semmelweis University.

Quantitative analysis of immunohistochemical staining The livers of two 3-year-old children and the 20-, 26-year-

old adults were used for quantitation. Consecutive frozen
sections were co-stained for CK7/EMA, CK7/CD56, and CK7/
CD133. The number of CK7-stained structures was determined. The double-stained structures were counted and the
results were given as percent of the CK7+ structures.

Results

69

70

Distribution of hepatic ductules in the liver parenchyma

76 When sections from the livers of 3-year-old healthy children were stained for panCK, CK7, and laminin, hepatic 77 ductules surrounded by basement membrane could be 78 79 observed in the parenchyma far from the portal spaces 80 (Fig. 1A and 1B). Low power examination revealed that these ductules were not randomly arranged. They outlined dimly 81 82 polygonal structures with terminal veins in the centers 83 and portal triads at the corners, that is, the classical hepatic 84 lobules. When micrographs of 40 serial sections stained for cytokeratin-7 were digitally aligned and merged (Fig. 85 1C), this kind of perilobular arrangement of the ductules 86 was even more obvious. Two other characteristics could 87 88 be observed on this composite image: (i) no CK7+ biliary 89 ductules were present inside the hepatic lobules; (ii) the 90 ductules at the interlobular border spread until the half of 91 the porto-portal distances, which resulted in watershed-like 92 empty gaps in the middle of these stretches.

High power examination of individual biliary ductules
showed that these narrow tubules did really extend beyond
the limiting plate. The ductules spread in virtual "empty"
spaces among hepatocytes on cytokeratin antibody stained
sections (Fig. 1D), where only the epithelial elements of the
hepatic tissue were decorated. When the ductules terminated

DEZSŐET AL.

on hepatocytes, they were surrounded by typical U-shaped 99 basement membrane (Fig. 2B inset), and the hepatocytes did 100 not participate in the composition of the ductules beyond 101 these connections. The "empty" space around the ductules 102 was filled by collagenous matrix (Fig. 2A) and contained 103 CD31+ small blood vessels (Fig. 2B), some of which were 104 also labeled by the arterial marker NG-2 [17] (Fig. 2C). Taken 105 together, confocal analysis of normal human liver revealed 106 the deposition of small amounts of extracellular matrix 107 between hepatic lobules, with expanding biliary ductules 108 and blood vessels. This kind of arrangement of the blood 109 vessels was described earlier as the vascular septum [18,19]. 110 The small amount of matrix could not be visualized on tra-111 ditional histological sections by special stainings on any of 112 our liver samples. 113

Alterations of the hepatic ductules with age

The maturation of the biliary system continues in post-
natal life in humans [20,21], and major changes are also114observed in rats [15,22]. Therefore, we decided to examine
the distribution of the canals of Hering in healthy livers of
individuals of various ages.116



FIG. 1. Confocal images of normal human liver from a 3-year-old child. (**A**, **B**) Triple labeling for CK7 (red), laminin (blue), and pan CK (green). (**A**) CK7 (red) and laminin (blue) staining. (**B**) Merged image. Comparing the two images, the perilobular arrangement of the laminin-framed ductules is clearly discernible. (**C**) CK7 (green)-stained biliary ductules sharply outline the hepatic lobule when 40 thick serial sections are merged. Note the "gaps" (arrows) halfway of the porto-portal distances. (**D**) Horizontal view of 42 optical sections stained for panCK. Note the numerous connections of the bile ductules with the liver parenchyma (arrowheads). The collecting bile ductule is running in an "empty" space toward the portal area. Scale bar for A, B: 500 μm; C: 100 μm; and D: 50 μm.

STEM CELL NICHE IN HUMAN LIVER



FIG. 2. Confocal images of normal human livers from a 3 (A, B)- and a 13 (C)-year-old child. (D–F) hepatic lobules in 23 (D)-, 39 (E)-week-old fetuses and in a 26-year-old adult (F) liver. (A) Double staining for CK7 and collagen I. CK7 (green)-stained perilobular ductules are embedded in rudimentary collagenous matrix (red) in the portal spaces and in the interlobular septa. The inset shows the vascular septum at a higher magnification on a different section. (B, C) High power view of the "vascular septum." (B) Laminin (blue) surrounded CK7+ (green) ductules are accompanied by CD31 (red)-decorated blood vessels (arterioles). The larger vessel (arrow) probably represents a terminal branch of the portal vein. The inset shows the connection of a ductule on the hepatic plate (cannot be seen with this staining); note the sharp ending of the U-shaped basement membrane at the ducto-parenchymal border (arrowheads). (C) Note the proximity of NG-2+ (red) arterioles to the CK7 (green)-stained ductules. The empty laminin (blue) circles (arrows) probably represent portal vein branches. (D) The portal tracts are "closed"; laminin (red) surrounded CK7+ (green) ductules are confined to the border of the portal space. Note the high number of ductules marking the ductogenesis from the ductal plate. (E) Thy-1 (red)-stained myofibroblasts initiate the formation of the interlobular septa with a few CK7+ (green) ductules (arrows). The CYP450 (blue) staining shows the zonality of the hepatic lobule with a Thy-1-positive terminal vein in the center. (F) Laminin (red) and CK7 (green) marked ductules outline the hepatic lobule in the adult liver, but they are rarer in the septa than in children (compare with Fig. 1C, 1D). Scale bar for A, D, E, and F: 100 µm and B and C: 50 µm.

119 The portal areas in the liver of immature neonates born 120 on the 23rd week of pregnancy were "closed." There were 121 numerous bile ducts in the periportal connective tissue, 122 especially at the periphery, as the remnants of the ductal 123 plate. However, no signs of vascular septa were seen; no 124 matrix deposition, blood vessels, or biliary ductules could 125 be observed outside the limiting plate in any of the exam-126 ined specimens (Fig. 2D).

127 Early signs of vascular septum formation could already 128 be recognized in a liver sample derived from a fetus of the 39th week of pregnancy. It was mostly outlined by Thy-1positive myofibroblasts, but a few CK7+ ductules were also present in these septum fundaments outside the portal fields (Fig. 2E).

3

129

130

131

132

The vascular septa and all of its components were mostly133developed in the livers of young children of the age of 3134years (Fig. 1A and 1B). The only available liver specimen135from a 13-year-old girl contained relatively regressed vas-136cular septa, and although all the elements described earlier137were present in the livers of young adults (20 and 26 years),138they were more scarce (Fig. 2F).139

Immunophenotypic characterization of bile ductules

There are several proposed markers for hepatic progen-140 itor cells in human liver (1,2), but most of them did not dis-141 tinguish hepatic ductules of the vascular septa from larger 142 interlobular bile ducts in our hands. Some of the markers 143 (AFP, chromogranin, synaptophysin, DMBT, DLK, CEA, 144 CK20, CK14) did not label any biliary structures, while others 145 (EpCAM, E-cadherin, CK7, CK19) stained the complete bili-146 ary tree (data not shown). Only three markers reacted differ-147 entially with bile ducts and ductules. Epithelial membrane 148 antigen (EMA) resulted in a very sharp characteristic linear 149 apical staining in the interlobular bile ducts. Conversely, it 150 was absent in the ductules even on cross sections (Fig. 3A 151 and 3B). The staining pattern of CD133 (Fig. 3C) and CD56 152 (Fig. 3D, 3E, and 3F) was opposite. In specimens up to the age 153 of 3 years, there was a consistent apical CD133 staining in all 154 segments of the biliary tree. However, in samples from older 155 individuals the staining was strictly confined to the small 156 ductules of the vascular septa. The distribution of CD56 in 157 all specimens was similar to this latter case: it labeled exclu-158 sively the small ductules. 159

Quantitative evaluation of the immunohistochemical 160 reactions (Table 1) showed that only a small portion of the 161 biliary structures were stained for EMA, and this staining 162 was restricted to the periportal area. No such preferen-163 tial distribution was noticed with the two other markers. 164 Almost all ducts/ductules were decorated by CD133 in the 165 livers of the children, while in adulthood the ratio of CD56+ 166 and CD133+ ductules were similar. The CK7 antibody 167 reacted sometimes with very small bile ductules occasion-168 ally appearing as single cells especially along the vascular 169 septa. Since the CD56 and CD133 reaction was not as strong 170 and diffuse as the CK7, the number of the CD56 and CD133 171 ductules was probably underestimated. 172

Discussion

We have analyzed the architecture of biliary ductules in173normal human livers and observed them to circumscribe174the classical hepatic lobules by participating in the forma-175tion of the so-called vascular septa (Fig. 4). This arrangement176develops in postnatal life and can be most obviously seen in177early childhood. The hepatic ductules are characterized by a178unique EMA-/CD56+/CD133+ immunophenotype.179

There are several candidates for the liver stem/progeni-
tor cell niche. Kuwahara et al. [10] proposed four structures180to harbor such cells: the canals of Hering, intralobular bile
ducts, periductal "null" mononuclear cells, and peribil-
iary hepatocytes. After all, stemness has been proposed to
be not an entity but function [23] and—depending on the180



FIG. 3. Immunophenotypical characterization of the hepatic ductules in adult (A–C) and 3-year-old (D–F) livers. (**A**, **B**) There is a sharp apical epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) (red) staining in CK7 (green)- and laminin (blue)marked bile ducts (arrows) inside the portal space, while EMA staining is absent in the small ductules (arrowheads) at the periphery. For better visibility of the EMA staining B shows only the red and blue channels. (C) There is an apical CD133 (red) staining in a CK7 (green)-marked ductule (arrowhead), while this marker is not present in an interlobular bile duct (arrow). The inset shows the apical CD133 positivity of ductules. (D) CD56 (red) stains only the small nerves (arrowheads) in the portal space, the CK7 (green)- and laminin (blue)-labeled bile duct is negative (arrow). (E) High magnification of a CK7 (green)- and laminin (blue)-stained ductule within the septum reveals membranous CD56 (red) positivity (arrowheads). (F) CD56+ (green) bile ductules (arrowheads) are attached to hepatocytes (not highlighted by this staining), are surrounded by laminin-positive (red) U-shaped basement membrane (small arrows). The laminin (red)-positive "empty" structure surrounded by basement membrane (large arrow) represents a blood vessel. Scale bar: 50 µm.

situation-different cell populations can behave as hepatic 186 187 progenitor cells. Insofar, most evidence shows that the canals 188 of Hering have the highest potential to behave as hepatic 189 progenitor cells [1,2,6,13]. Therefore, the accurate architec-190 ture of these structures is a key issue to understand their 191 behavior under normal and pathological conditions.

192 The canals of Hering were originally described [11] as short straight ducts at the limiting plate, which connect 193 194 the bile canaliculi to the interlobular bile ducts. However, 195 Theise et al. [16] demonstrated the extension of hepatic 196 ductules through the limiting plate into the hepatic lobule. 197 Our results confirm the presence of these structures deep

 TABLE 1.
 Immunophenotype of Biliary Structures
 IN NORMAL LIVER

Sample	СК7+	EMA+	CD56+	CD133+
3 years	100% (1,112)	4.8%	62.9%	96.3%
Adult	100% (1,125)	6.7%	54.4%	59%

Abbreviation: EMA, epithelial membrane antigen. () Total number of counted bile ducts.

in the hepatic parenchyma; moreover, a clear orientation of 198 the ductules could be observed on our confocal images. The 199 ductules spread into the parenchyma along the porto-portal 200 axis. The hexagonal structures outlined by hepatic ductules 201 correspond to the classical hepatic lobules. High power 202 examination of individual ductules revealed their close cor-203 relation with bile canaliculi enabling their drainage. 204

In addition to former observations [19,24] that venular branches are present in vascular septa, we noticed NG-2stained arterioles running in line with the ductules. There have been speculations about bile ductule escorting hepatic arterioles [18,19,25,26,27] but no convincing evidence has been published so far. Gouw et al. [19] and van der Heuvel et al. [28] emphasize the importance of the microvascular compartment for the efficient regeneration of ductules. Since—contrary to several other species—no arterio-portal anastomoses exist in the human liver, the presence of arterial blood would be advantageous for the regenerative function of the ductules. The proximity of the blood vessels to the bile ductules corresponds very well to the close correlation between the development of biliary and vascular structures [29]. This architecture of the intraparenchymal ductules and the escorting vessels is in full agreement with the proposed model of Matsumoto [18] based on 3D reconstruction of the human liver from thousands of serial sections.

According to the original description [18], the "vascular septum" is not a fibrous septum but a vascular surface from which sinusoids originate. However, we observed a collagenous matrix in this location. Since all the studied liver samples were normal and no fibrosis could be seen by traditional connective tissue staining, we suggest that a minimal amount of matrix material in the vascular septum, which can be visualized only by careful immunohistochemical analysis, is a component of the normal human hepatic tissue. Hepatic lobules are separated by well-defined connective tissue septa in several species [30], and the vascular septum of the human liver with its matrix components can be regarded as a rudimentary interlobular septum.

We have observed a peculiar age dependence of the vascular septa. Obviously, a more detailed age-related analysis 237 of these structures is required. The interlobular bile ducts develop from the ductal plate [21], but ductal plates disappear shortly after birth and new bile ducts/ductules arise 240 from pre-existent ducts by branching and elongation [31]. This "cholangiogenesis" could follow the primitive septa we observed in the liver of the 39-week-old fetus. The postnatal 243 maturation of the biliary tree is well documented in humans 244 [21] and rats [22] as well. Furthermore, the interlobular sep-245 tum also develops postnatally in pigs [30]. 246

The progressing scarcity of the ductular system with age 247 should also be analyzed in more detail. We do not know if 248 this process is absolute due to the apoptosis of biliary cells or 249

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

238

239

241

242

STEM CELL NICHE IN HUMAN LIVER



FIG. 4. Schematic representation of hepatic lobule (brown), with bile ducts/ductules (green) and accompanying arterioles (red). Note that these structures extend only halfway into the porto-portal distances; however, they cover the whole "lateral" surface of the lobule. For simplicity, the portal vein branches are not shown.

just relative. The size of the hepatic lobules increases duringontogeny [32], and if the growth of the ductules is arrested

- earlier, it may be responsible for their relative regression.We were curious if the biliary ductules could be char-
- 254 acterized by a special immunophenotype. Three different 255 antibodies were able to distinguish reliably the canals of 256 Hering from larger bile ducts: the canals of Hering were EMA-/CD56+/CD133+; whereas, interlobular bile ducts 257 were EMA+/CD56-/CD133-. All of these markers have 258 259 already been mentioned in connection with the hepatic pro-260 genitor cell compartment. Atypical ductular reactions have 261 been reported EMA-/CD56+, while the typical ones, which 262 are similar to the interlobular bile ducts, are EMA+/CD56-263 [33]. CD56 has been demonstrated in proliferating ductules, 264 while it could not be observed in normal canals of Hering 265 [34,35], but recent studies found CD56 mRNA and protein in ductules of normal human livers [36,37]. CD133 has orig-266 267 inally been described as a hematopoietic stem cell marker, and its mRNA has been detected in the liver by Northern 268 269 hybridization, but no immunostaining was identified in par-270 affin sections by Miraglia et al. [38]. However, the protein 271 could be detected by immunohistochemistry in the canals 272 of Hering of normal human liver [39] and in regenerating 273 ductules related to fulminant liver failure [40]. In our present 274 experiments, the distribution of this marker showed an age-275 dependent change. This is similar to our results on rat liver, 276 where the immunophenotype of the canals of Hering devel-277 oped postnatally [15]. Interestingly, CD133+ cells isolated

from HCC proved to be highly tumorigenic and have been reported as tumor stem cells [41]. Increased expression of CD133 has also been reported in a subset of cholangiocellular carcinomas, which were claimed to have a progenitor cell origin [42].

The combined application of these three antibodies provides an efficient tool for the identification of the canals of Hering in the normal human liver. Furthermore, the distinct immunophenotype of the hepatic ductules supports their different biological potential.

In conclusion, we present a refinement for the widely 288 cited architectural description [16,27,43] of the intraparen-289 chymal biliary ductules in normal human liver. The canals 290 of Hering with escorting vessels are situated in the vascular 291 septum and are components of a rudimentary interlobular 292 septum. They can be distinguished from larger bile ducts 293 by a unique immunophenotype. Better comprehension of 294 canals of Hering's architecture in normal human liver may 295 promote our understanding of their behavior in various 296 pathological/biological reactions. 297

Acknowledgment

The authors appreciate the help of Dr. Nóra Szlávik, Dr.298Éva Görbe, and Dr. Júlia Hajdú in collecting the samples.299The article is supported by OTKA K 67697.300

Author Disclosure Statement

We declare that we have no duality of interest.

References

- 1. Alison MR, P Vig, F Russo, BW Bigger, E Amofah, M Themis and S Forbes. (2004). Hepatic stem cells: from inside and outside the liver? Cell Prolif 37:1–21.
- 2. Santoni-Rugiu E, P Jelnes, SS Thorgeirsson and HC Bisgaard. (2005). Progenitor cells in liver regeneration: molecular responses controlling their activation and expansion. APMIS 113:876–902.
- 3. Dahlke MH, FC Popp, S Larsen, HJ Schlitt and JEJ Rasko. (2004). Stem cell therapy of the liver-fusion or fiction? Liver Transpl 10:471–479.
- 4. Tosh D and A Strain. (2005). Liver stem cells-prospects for clinical use. J Hepatol 42:S75–S84.
- 5. Thorgeirsson SS and JW Grisham. (2006). Hematopoietic cells as hepatocyte stem cells: a critical review of the evidence. Hepatology 43:2–8.
- 6. Fausto N. (2004). Liver regeneration and repair: hepatocytes, progenitor cells, and stem cells. Hepatology 39:1477–1487.
- 7. Grompe M. (2003). The role of bone marrow stem cells in liver regeneration. Semin Liver Dis 23:363–371.
- 8. Fujita M, H Furukawa, M Hattori, S Todo, Y Ishida and K Nagashima. (2000). Sequential observation of liver cell regeneration after massive hepatic necrosis in auxiliary partial orthotopic liver transplantation. Mod Pathol 13:152–157.
- 9. Eleazar JA, L Memeo, JS Jhang, MM Mansukhani, S Chin, SM Park, JH Lefkowitch and G Bhagat. (2004). Progenitor cell expansion: an important source of hepatocyte regeneration in chronic hepatitis. J Hepatol 41.983–991.
- 10. Kuwahara R, AV Kofman, CS Landis, ES Swenson, E
Barendswaard and ND Theise. (2008). The hepatic stem cell
niche: identification by label-retaining cell assay. Hepatology
47:1994–2002.329
330
331
- Hering E. (1867). Über den Bau der Wirbelthierleber. Arch
 Mikrosk Anat 3:88–114.
 334

5

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

301

302

303 304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

()

400

402

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

()

6

- 335 12. Grisham JW and EA Porta. (1964). Origin and fate of prolifer-336 ated hepatic ductal cells in rat: electron microscopic and autora-337 diographic studies. Exp Mol Pathol 3:242-261.
- 13. Paku S, J Schnur, P Nagy and SS Thorgeirsson. (2001). Origin 338 339 and structural evolution of the early proliferating oval cells in 340 rat liver. Am J Pathol 158:1313-1323.
- 341 14. Scheres B. (2007). Stem-cell niches: nursery rhymes across king-342 doms. Nature Rev Mol Cell Biol 8:345-354.
- 343 15. Paku S, K Dezső, L Kopper and P Nagy. (2005). 344 Immunohistochemical analysis of cytokeratin 7 expression 345 in resting and proliferating biliary structures of rat liver. 346 Hepatology 42:863-870.
- 16. Theise ND, R Saxena, BC Portmann, SN Thung, H Yee, L 347 Chiriboga, A Kumar and JM Crawford. (1999). The canals 348 349 of Hering and hepatic stem cells in humans. Hepatology 350 30:1425-1433.
- 17. Fukushi J, IT Makagiansar and WB Stallcup. (2004). NG2 pro-351 352 teoglycan promotes endothelial cell motility and angiogenesis 353 via engagement of Galectin-3 and α 3 β 1 integrin. Mol Biol Cell 354 15:3580-3590.
- 355 18. Matsumoto T, R Komori, T Magara, T Ui, M Kawakami, T 356 Tokuda, S Takasaki, H Hayashi, K Jo, H Hano and H Tanaka. 357 (1979). A study on the normal structure of the human liver, with 358 special reference to its angioarchitecture. Jikeikai Med J 26:1–40.
- 359 19. Gouw AS, MC van der Heuvel, M Boot, MJ Slooff, S Poppema 360 and KP de Jong. (2006). Dynamics of the vascular profile of the 361 finer ranches of the biliary tree in normal ad diseased human 362 livers. J Hepatol 45:393-400.
- 20. Crawford JM. (2002). Development of the intrahepatic biliary 363 364 tree. Semin Liver Dis 22:213-226.
- 21. Lemaigre FP. (2003). Development of the biliary tract. Mech Dev 365 366 120:81 - 87
- 22. Van Eyken P, R Sciot and V Desmet. (1988). Intrahepatic bile 367 duct development in the rat. Lab Invest 59:52-59. 368
- 23. Blau HM, TR Brazelton and JM Weimann. (2001). The evolving 369 370 concept of a stem cell: entity or function? Cell 105:829-841.
- 371 24. Teutsch HF. (2005). The modular microarchitecture of human 372 liver. Hepatology 42:317-325.
- 373 25. Yamamoto K, I Sherman, MJ Phillips and MM Fisher. (1985). 374 Three-dimensional observations of the hepatic arterial termi-375 nations in rat, hamster and human liver by scanning electron 376 microscopy of microvascular casts. Hepatology 5:452-456.
- 377 26. RNM MacSween, VJ Desmet, T Roskams and RJ Scothorne. 378 (2002). Developmental anatomy and normal structure. In: 379 Pathology of the Liver. MacSween RNM, AD Burt, BC Portmann, 380 KG Ishak, PJ Scheuer, PP Anthony, eds. Churchill Livingstone, 381 London, pp 1-66.
- 382 27. Saxena R, ND Theise and JM Crawford. (1999). Microanatomy 383 of the human liver-exploring the hidden interfaces. Hepatology 384 30:1339-1346
- 385 28. Van der Heuvel MC, KP de Jong, M Boot, MJ Sloff, S Poppema 386 and AS Gouw. (2006). Preservation of bile ductules mitigates 387 bile duct loss. Am J Transplant 6:2660-2671.
- 388 29. Libbrecht L, D Cassiman and V Desmet. (2002). The correlation between portal myofibroblasts and development of intra-389 390 hepatic bile ducts and arterial branches in human liver. Liver 391 22:252-258
- 392 30. Ekataksin W and K Wake. (1997). New concepts in biliary and 393 vascular anatomy of the liver. Prog Liver Dis 15:1-30.
- 394 31. Roskams T and V Desmet. (2008). Embryology of extra- and 395 intrahepatic bile ducts, the ductal plate. Anat Rec 291:628-635.
- 396 32. PappV, K Dezső, V László, P Nagy and S Paku. (2009). 397 Architectural changes during regenerative and ontogenic liver 398 growth in the rat. Liver Transplant 15:177-183.

- 33. Sonzogni A, G Colloredo, L Fabris, M Cadamuro, B Paris, 399 L Roffi, M Pozzi, G Bovo, P Del Poggio, BC Portmann and M Strazzabosco. (2004). Isolated idiopathic bile ductular hyperpla-401 sia in patients with persistently abnormal liver function tests. J Hepatol 40:592-598. 403
- 34. Van der Heuvel MC, MJH Slooff, L Visser, M Muller, KP DeJong, S Poppema and AS Gouw. (2001). Expression of anti-OV6 antibody and anti-N-CAM antibody along the biliary line of normal and diseased human livers. Hepatology 33:1387-1393.
- 35. Zhou H, LE Rogler, L Teperman, G Morgan and CE Rogler. (2007). Identification of hepatocytic and bile ductular cell lineages and candidate stem cells in bipolar ductular reactions in cirrhotic human liver. Hepatology 45:716-724.
- 36. Schmelzer E, E Wauthier and LM Reid. (2006). The phenotypes of pluripotent human hepatic progenitors. Stem Cells 24:1852-1858
- 37. Zhang L, N Theise, M Chua and LM Reid. (2008). The stem cell niche of human livers. Hepatology 48:1598-1607.
- 38. Miraglia S, W Godfrey and AH Yin. (1997). A novel five-transmembrane hematopoietic stem cell antigen: isolation, characterization, and molecular cloning. Blood 90:5013-5021
- 39. Karbanová J, E Missol-Kolka, A Fonseca, C Lorra, P Janich, H Hollerová, J Jászai, J Ehrmann, Z Kolar, C Liebers, S Arl, D Subrtová, D Freund, J Mokry, WB Huttner and D Corbelli. (2008). The stem cell marker CD 133 (Prominin-1) is expressed in various human glandular epithelia. J Histochem Cytochem 56:977-993
- 40. Craig CEH, A Quaglia, C Selden, M Lowdell, H Hodgson and AP Dhillon. (2004). The histopathology of regeneration in massive hepatic necrosis. Semin Liver Dis 24:49-64.
- 41. Ma S, K Chan, L Hu, TK Lee, JY Wo, IO Ng, BJ Zheng and XY Guan. (2007). Identification and characterization of tumorgenic liver cancer stem/progenitor cells. Gastroenterology 132:2542-2556
- 42. Komuta M, B Spee, SV Borght, R De Vos, C Verslype, R Aerts, H Yano, T Suzuki, M Matsuda, H Fujii, V Desmet, M Kojiro and T Roskams. (2008). Clinicopathological study on cholangiolocellular carcinoma suggesting hepatic progenitor cell origin. Hepatology 47:1544-1556.
- 43. Roskams TA, ND Theise, C Balabaud, G Bhagat, PS Bhathal, P Bioulac-Sage, EM Brunt, JM Crawford, HA Crosby, V Desmet, MJ Finegold, SA Geller, AS Gouw, P Hytiroglou, AS Knisely, M Kojiro, JH Lefkowitch, Y Nakanuma, JK Olynyk, YN Park, B Portmann, R Saxena, PJ Scheuer, AJ Strain, SN Thung, IR Wanless and AB West. (2004). Nomenclature of the finer branches of the biliary tree: canals, ductules, and ductular reactions in human livers. Hepatology 39:1739-1745.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Peter Nagy First Department of Pathology and Experimental Cancer Research Semmelweis University Üllõi út 26 Budapest 1085 Hungary

E-mail: nagy@korb1.sote.hu

Received for publication April 1, 2009 Accepted after revision June 24, 2009

Antibody	Species	Manufacturer	Catalog number	Dilution
Laminin	Rabbit polyclonal	Dako	Z0097	1:200
FITC-labeled pancytokeratin	Mouse monoclonal	Dako	F0859	1:20
FITC-labeled cytokeratin-7	Mouse monoclonal	Dako	F7232	1:10
Cytokeratin-7	Mouse monoclonal	BioGenex	MU-255-UC	1:50
CD31	Mouse monoclonal	Dako	M0823	1:50
NG-2	Mouse monoclonal	R&D	MAB2585	1:20
Thy-1	Mouse monoclonal	BD Pharmingen	550402	1:100
Cyp450 IIE1	Rabbit polyclonal	MBL	BV-3084-3	1:100
EMA	Mouse monoclonal	Novocastra	EMA-L-CE	1:50
CD56	Mouse monoclonal	BD Pharmingen	559043	1:50
CD133	Mouse monoclonal	Miltenyi Biotec	120-000-967	1:50
Collagen I	Rabbit polyclonal	Calbiochem	234167	1:50

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1	Primary .	ANTIBODIES	USED FOR THE	IMMUNOHISTOCHEMICA	AL STUDIES
-----------------------	-----------	------------	--------------	---------------------------	------------

۲

Abbreviation: EMA, epithelial membrane antigen.

۲

۲